

**CITY OF ONTARIO PLANNING COMMISSION/
HISTORIC PRESERVATION MEETING**

MINUTES

April 28, 2020

CONTENTS	PAGE
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.....	2
ANNOUNCEMENTS.....	2
PUBLIC COMMENTS.....	2
CONSENT CALENDAR	
A-01. Minutes of March 26, 2020.....	2
A-02. PDEV19-040	2
PUBLIC HEARINGS	
B. File No. PHP20-004.....	3
C. File Nos. PMTT19-013 & PDEV19-050.....	4
D. File No. PSPA19-009.....	5
E. File Nos. PMTT19-016 & PDEV19-054	6
F. File No. PSPA19-004	10
G. File No. PSPA19-007	12
MATTERS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION.....	13
DIRECTOR’S REPORT.....	13
ADJOURNMENT	13

**CITY OF ONTARIO PLANNING COMMISSION/
HISTORIC PRESERVATION MEETING**

(Presented to public via Zoom)

MINUTES

April 28, 2020

REGULAR MEETING: City Hall, 303 East B Street
Called to order via zoom, by Chairman Willoughby at 6:30 PM

COMMISSIONERS

Present: Chairman Willoughby, Vice-Chairman DeDiemar, Downs, Gage, Gregorek, Reyes, and Ricci

Absent: None

OTHERS PRESENT: Planning Director Wahlstrom, City Attorney Rice, Principal Planner Mercier, Senior Planner Batres, Senior Planner Mejia, Assistant Planner Vaughn, Transportation Manager Bautista, and Planning Secretary Berendsen

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Commissioner Ricci.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Ms. Wahlstrom stated that the applicant for Item G is asking that it be continued to the next regular meeting. She also stated that we had received an electronic public comment letter and card regarding Item F, the Toyota Ontario Business Park Specific Plan Amendment, from Lozeau Drury, representing the Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility, stating concerns regarding the environmental addendum, and that the Commissioners have been given a copy.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No public comments, other than those noted in the announcements, were received.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

A-01. MINUTES APPROVAL

Planning/Historic Preservation Commission Minutes of March 26, 2020, approved as written.

A-02. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PDEV19-040: A Development Plan to construct one industrial building

totaling 211,358 square feet on 9.34 acres of land located at 1610 and 1612 South Cucamonga Avenue, within the IG (General Industrial) zoning district. The environmental impacts of this project were previously analyzed with The Ontario Plan (File No. PGPA06-001) Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2008101140) certified by the City Council on January 27, 2010. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts, and all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APN: 1050-201-02) **submitted by Alere Property Group LLC.**

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Gregorek, seconded by Downs, to approve the Planning Commission Minutes of March 26, 2016, as written and the Development Plan, File No., PDEV19-040, subject to the conditions of approval. The motion was carried 7 to 0.

PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

- B. TWENTIETH ANNUAL MODEL COLONY AWARDS FILE NO. PHP20-004:** A request for the Historic Preservation Commission to accept the nominations for the Twentieth Annual Model Colony Awards; **submitted by City of Ontario. City Council presentation of Awards.**

Associate Planner Antuna, presented the staff report. She described the history of the Chaffey High School Campus and explained how the campus was designated as Local Landmark No. 58. She explained the improvements made to the Gardiner W. Spring Auditorium and its nomination for the Restoration Award and the completion of the Math and Science Building and its nomination for the Rehabilitation Award. She stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission approve File No. PHP20-004, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report, and to the City Council for presentation.

No one responded.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

No one responded.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Willoughby closed the public testimony

Mr. Willoughby stated these are two ideal nominees for the awards, as they are such landmarks within our community, and they did such a good job with the remodeling and improvements. He stated he attended Chaffey High School one year in 1969.

Ms. DeDiemar stated she was in the Chaffey High School class of 1963.

Mr. Gregorek stated these are excellent choices for the awards, especially the auditorium and

both these nominations are well deserving.

Mr. Gage stated he was in the class of 1967 and at his 50th class reunion he was able to tour the Math and Science building and it is just beautiful and fits in the campus. He stated the auditorium renovations are just gorgeous. He stated these are worthy assets within the city and he thanked the people who gave to the restoration and rehabilitation.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by DeDiemar, seconded by Downs, to approve the nominations for the Twentieth Annual Model Colony Awards, File No., PHP20-004, and recommend City Council present the awards. Roll call vote: AYES, DeDiemar, Downs, Gage, Gregorek, Reyes, Ricci and Willoughby; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7 to 0.

- C. **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP, AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NOS. PMTT19-013 (PM 20157) AND PDEV19-050:** A Tentative Parcel Map (File No. PMTT19-013) to subdivide 5 acres of land into a single parcel, in conjunction with a Development Plan (File No. PDEV19-050) to construct a 104,993 square-foot industrial building, located at the northwest corner of Sunkist Street and Campus Avenue, at 617 East Sunkist Street, within the IL (Light Industrial) zoning district. The environmental impacts of this project were previously analyzed with The Ontario Plan (File No. PGPA06-001) Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2008101140) certified by the City Council on January 27, 2010. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts, and all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APN: 1049-232-21) **submitted by Herdman Architecture and Design.**

Assistant Planner Vaughn, presented the staff report. She described the surrounding area, the cleanup of the lot-lines, proposed site plan, parking, landscaping, elevations, and the health risk assessment that was completed. She stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission approve File Nos. PMTT19-013 and PDEV19-050, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolution, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Mr. Reyes wanted clarification regarding the existing landscaping along the east Sunkist, if they would be protected or all new landscaping.

Ms. Vaughn stated the majority will be protected as well as some new.

Mr. Zeledon stated there was an Arborist report done on the Camphor trees and what healthy trees should remain and those that need to be replaced.

Mr. Reyes wanted clarification regarding trucks exiting out of westerly side and if they will be able to go west on Sunkist or move east to Campus to avoid the residential.

Mr. Zeledon stated they are to go east or north, but if the Commission would like we can add a

condition that trucks will exit and go east to Campus then north to Mission.

Mr. Downs wanted to know if the blue lighting would be on at night.

Mr. Vaughn referred to the applicant.

Mr. Zeledon stated as these are LED lights, they would be an accent at night to add illumination and an artsy feel to the building. He stated the applicant is available to speak on the item.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Mr. Kevin Rice spoke and stated he appreciates the staff pulling this together and the city for being willing to develop the project, especially during the current conditions.

Mr. Willoughby asked Mr. Rice to clarify the LED lighting on the building.

Mr. Rice stated this is a feature they are trying to bring more modern to industrial and highlight the architecture at night. He stated this would be a subtle soft glow to highlight the building.

Mr. Willoughby asked Mr. Rice about the added condition regarding the truck traffic exiting east to Campus Ave.

Mr. Rice stated he agrees to this additional condition.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Willoughby closed the public testimony

Mr. Reyes stated he was satisfied with the added condition to the Conditions of Approval, regarding trucks exiting towards Campus Ave.

Mr. Willoughby stated he agrees and requests that staff include the condition.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Gregorek, seconded by Ricci, to adopt a resolution to approve the Tentative Parcel Map, File No., PMTT19-013, and the Development Plan, File No., PDEV19-050, subject to conditions of approval, including the additional condition regarding truck traffic exiting the SW corner going east to Campus Ave.. Roll call vote: AYES, DeDiamar, Downs, Gage, Gregorek, Reyes, Ricci, and Willoughby; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7 to 0.

- D. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PSPA19-009:** An Amendment (File No. PSPA19-009) to the Piemonte Overlay of the Ontario Center Specific Plan, to modify the Minimum Parking Requirements (Section 3.3.5.1) to allow tandem parking up to a maximum of 50% of the required parking. The Ontario Center Specific Plan-Piemonte Overlay encompasses 84.43 acres of land, generally located north of Concours Street, south of Fourth Street, west of Via Alba, and east of Haven Avenue. The environmental impacts of this project were previously reviewed in conjunction with File No. PSPA16-003, for which a

Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted by the City Council on May 16, 2017. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts, and all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APNs: 0210-204-26, 0210-204-37, 0210-204-40, 0210-531-15, 0210-531-16) **submitted by LCD Residential at Ontario, LLC. City Council action is required.**

- E. **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, TENTATIVE TRACT MAP AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR FILE NOS. PMTT19-016 (TT 20308) AND PDEV19-054:** A Tentative Tract Map (File No. PMTT19-016) to subdivide 3.02 acres of land into one numbered lot and two lettered lots for condominium purposes in conjunction with a Development Plan (File No. PDEV19-054) to construct 72 multi-family residential units (Townhomes). The project is located at the southwest corner of Via Alba and Via Villagio, within the Residential land use district of the Ontario Center Specific Plan-Piemonte Overlay. The environmental impacts of this project were previously reviewed in conjunction with File No. PSPA16-003, for which a Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted by the City Council on May 16, 2017. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts, and all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); (APN: 0210-204-40) **submitted by The New Home Company Southern California LLC.**

Senior Planner Batres, presented the staff report. He described the Piemonte Overlay area and the specific site area. He described the need for the amendment regarding tandem parking, the proposed tract map including landscaping, parking, amenities, architectural design, elevations, and floor plans. He stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission recommend approval for File No. PSPA19-009, and approve File Nos. PMTT19-016 and PDEV19-054, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolution, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Ms. Wahlstrom gave some context regarding the Ontario Center Mixed Use area. She described the intensity of this area within the city, with the mixed uses and density. She stated this project is within a prime area that is urban and the transit, BRT will be coming to the area, which makes the increase in tandem parking more appropriate.

Mr. Willoughby clarified this tandem parking increase is only within this project and this specific area and no other area in the city.

Ms. Wahlstrom stated that is correct, it is only in this planning area, within this specific plan.

Mr. Gage wanted to clarify the tandem parking from 12 to 50 percent and that the applicant is doing the full 50 percent, and if in plan 2 the stairways hinder the parking space.

Mr. Batres stated no it's designed to go above, entering from north side of the plan and the stairs will move up.

Mr. Zeledon clarified on the slide the position on the first car and second car and that it is out of the distance from the staircase. He also stated it is a gated community and parking will be strictly enforced by the HOA.

Mr. Gage wanted to make sure it would accommodate a standard length of car in both spaces.

Mr. Batres stated yes.

Ms. Wahlstrom stated the stairways offer plenty of clearance in terms of height.

Mr. Gage wanted to know of any storage cabinets provided in the garage design.

Mr. Batres stated yes at the front of the garage there will be overhead storage.

Mr. Gage wanted to know if this was for each garage.

Mr. Batres stated yes.

Mr. Gage wanted to clarify the increase was for this urban area and be assured it wasn't setting a precedent.

Ms. Wahlstrom stated that would have to be a policy decision that would need to come back to the commission for action.

Mr. Gage wanted to clarify it would be looked at case by case.

Ms. Wahlstrom stated yes it would need to be looked at case by case.

Mr. Downs wanted to know where the elevators are located.

Mr. Batres stated none are proposed as it's only a three-story project.

Mr. Willoughby clarified that these are for sale units and not a complex.

Mr. Batres stated that is correct and that CC&Rs will be recorded with the project.

Mr. Reyes stated the amenities in the main area were good and wanted clarification regarding the paseo in middle of project with benches and shade trees, are there any other amenities and will it terminate at the west end or connect to future projects.

Mr. Batres stated the they have proposed benches within the paseo and pedestrian access along the east and two points of access along the main gates, southern portion of the project, but no gate to the west, but that is something that could be requested.

Mr. Reyes wanted clarification about paseo connectivity within the specific plan.

Mr. Zeledon stated the paseo system throughout the Ontario Center specific plan goes from Milliken over to Haven with connecting sidewalks, from the arena area to the office buildings to

the east and west, but this ends because this leads to commercial, but could be punched out at another time depending what is developed in the future.

Mr. Reyes stated that is why he brought it up so it could be linked through the commercial to future design, if we make a design now with maybe a block wall and gate, or have staff work with the applicant to design something that could be punched out at a later date.

Mr. Zeledon stated with the commercial there are safety issues they have to think about, and he pointed out the paseo connections walkway that comes out the gates and leads to the sidewalk that leads to the hotel and arena.

Mr. Reyes stated the parking lots at Victoria Gardens have paseo that goes right through the structures and we need to think about future development with a possible link.

Mr. Reyes wanted clarification regarding the garage areas elevations is there landscape pockets between the garage doors.

Mr. Batres stated yes, they are providing that.

Mr. Willoughby wanted clarification regarding the paseo goes east to west and to the west is the future entertainment, retail, restaurant area that has already been approved.

Mr. Zeledon stated no to the northwest of the site is the entertainment area, to the west will be future commercial, but the paseo will lead to the entertainment core that runs from 4th Street to the arena.

Mr. Willoughby stated that would be the little strip that is planned for future entertainment and this would be a way to take them there.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes potentially it would.

Mr. Willoughby wanted to clarify that the project was to the east is Big AL's.

Mr. Zeledon stated yes that is correct, you would go north and over to the Target center and Big AL's area.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Mr. Sage McCleve with LCD Residential at Ontario, and Ms. Holly Slevcove with New Home Company, spoke. Mr. McCleve thanked staff and stated they did a great job, and this is a tight site to come up with a site plan that we will all be happy with. He stated they did reach out to the west commercial site and the optional plans he had seen showed commercial backing up to the paseo, but he could work with staff to make it accessible to the site to provide to continuation, but would need to see more of what the site will hold before they made that decision on a paseo connection. He stated he could work with staff to see about maybe pilasters or something that could be punched out and connected to easily. He also pointed out that the sidewalks to the south are on a shared drive isle to the Element hotel which provides east-west connectivity.

Mr. Willoughby stated he appreciates the idea of future connectivity to the west.

Mr. Gage increase tandem parking are you ok with staff recommendation to have storage cabinets at the full back of the garage, a strict HOA to regulate parking and planted landscape between the garages.

Mr. McCleve stated a strict association will be critical for the site, that will have strict parking regulations, due to the close proximity to neighbors. He stated there will be an element of landscaping between driveways, but have not finalized if it's potted plants or ground landscaping, and the tandem parking is a little over 40 feet, which leaves little bit of storage in the front and a nook in each garage for trash cans.

Ms. Slevcove stated each garage has enough air clearance for the racks installed in the front by the homeowner and there is room for a little front storage. She stated the landscape area between the garages will be planted with vine growth within the drive isles and that all landscaping will be HOA maintained.

Mr. McCleve stated they wanted uniform design and maintenance throughout the site.

Mr. Willoughby stated the entire project will look good all the time, which is critical.

Mr. Reyes wanted to know if any landscape lighting is proposed.

Ms. Slevcove stated yes there are bollards planned for the paseo areas and proposed site lighting plan with typical street lighting.

Mr. Reyes wanted to know of any proposed bollards along the perimeter, beyond the regular streetlights.

Ms. Slevcove stated not at this time, they are working within our site alone, which would be adequate enough with street lighting and the surrounding area.

Mr. Reyes stated maybe staff will need to work on the future lighting for the area as a whole.

Mr. Willoughby thanked the applicant and stated he likes what he sees and looks forward to the project.

Ms. Berendsen stated there are no public comments on this item.

Ms. Wahlstrom stated staff should continue to look at lighting as we move forward.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Willoughby closed the public testimony

Mr. Gage stated he was not happy to increase tandem parking by 36 which is an inconvenience to homeowners. He stated he is aware special intense and urban area and we need to be very aware of walkability with proper lighting and cautioned that we make this an urban environment and get public transportation in there. He stated that the overhead storage in the garage helps.

Mr. Willoughby clarified that this change is only for the Piemonte Specific Plan and he thinks it will work in this area and requested a strict HOA and that requirements are met.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Ricci, seconded by Downs, to recommend adoption of a resolution to approve the Specific Plan Amendment, File No., PSPA19-009. Roll call vote: AYES, DeDietmar, Downs, Gage, Gregorek, Reyes, Ricci, and Willoughby; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7 to 0.

Mr. Zeledon described the decorative lighting plan within the connectivity throughout the specific plan, with low bollards throughout.

Mr. Reyes stated he would like staff work with applicant to work on the lighting plan and maybe pilasters at west end of paseo, to punch them out in the future with possible development and that he is happy with landscape and benches in the paseo. He stated that overall this is a great project.

It was moved by Reyes, seconded by Ricci, to adopt a resolution to approve the Tentative Tract Map, File No., PMTT19-016, and the Development Plan, File No., PDEV19-054, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, DeDietmar, Downs, Gage, Gregorek, Reyes, Ricci, and Willoughby; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7 to 0.

- F. **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PSPA19-004:** An Amendment to the 95.35-acre Toyota Ontario Business Park Specific Plan, revising the current land use district covering Planning Area 1, from Office/Research and Development (“Office/R&D”) to Industrial Mixed Use, allowing for warehouse, distribution, and manufacturing land uses on the site in conjunction with the currently allowed Office/R&D land uses. Additionally, the Amendment will update the Specific Plan’s landscape palette to conform to current California friendly landscape practices. The Specific Plan area is generally located south of Jurupa Street, east of Milliken Avenue, north of Francis Street, and west of the I-15 freeway. The environmental impacts of this project were analyzed in an Addendum to The Ontario Plan (File No. PGPA06-001) Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2008101140), certified by the City Council on January 27, 2010. This application introduces no new significant environmental impacts and all previously adopted mitigation measures are a condition of project approval. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan; (APN: 0238-121-75) **submitted by MIG. This item was continued from the March 26, 2020 Planning Commission meeting. City Council action is required.**

Principal Planner Mercier presented the staff report. He described the location and surrounding area and the existing uses in each area. He described the change and what uses would be allowed and the landscape palette changes. He stated that staff is recommending the Planning Commission recommend approval of File No. PSPA19-004, pursuant to the facts and reasons contained in the staff report and attached resolution, and subject to the conditions of approval.

Mr. Gage wanted to verify this landscape overlay doesn’t remove any of the existing date palms.

Mr. Mercier stated that is correct, it does not affect the existing palms.

Mr. Ricci wanted to know the NE corner land designation.

Mr. Mercier stated it is light industrial.

Mr. Ricci wanted to know the area to the west land designation.

Mr. Mercier stated the area to the west is the same and office/research is included as a use, but the land use designation is light industrial.

Mr. Willoughby stated that Planning area 1 is similar to the California Commerce Center that is along Jurupa and Milliken.

Mr. Mercier stated that is correct.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Mr. Clint McMorris with Toyota spoke and thanked staff for their work and commissioners for their consideration, and the value they find in the longstanding relationship they have with the city.

Ms. Pam Steele with MIG, echoed Mr. McMorris's comments and stated the staff are a great bunch to work with.

Mr. Willoughby stated they have a long relationship with the city, and we appreciate that.

Mr. Gage wanted to verify that they are not taking down the existing date palms.

Mr. McMorris stated no.

Ms. Steele stated in reviewing the landscape palette, several plants in the palette were no longer desirable within the city.

Mr. Willoughby stated the change in the landscape palette is quite needed.

Mr. Gage asked if the applicant agrees with the conditions of approval.

Mr. McMorris stated yes.

Ms. Steele stated yes.

Mr. Reyes wanted to know the intentions of the land in the future, are they planning to use it or parcel it out.

Mr. McMorris stated they plan to sell the property.

Mr. Reyes stated he noticed expansion to the west with trucking and wanted to know if Mr.

McMorris felt they were at their max growth.

Mr. McMorris stated they have looked at site plans and doesn't see them increasing the footprint of the building at this time.

Mr. Willoughby asked regarding Planning area 3 expansion.

Mr. McMorris stated it could be a possible trailer storage expansion.

Ms. Berendsen stated public comments were received by Lozeau Drury for this item, as previously stated.

As there was no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Willoughby closed the public testimony

Mr. Reyes stated this proposal will match what is across the street and it will be a good use and wants to comment on landscape for industrial, that technically there are some things that could still be done, and staff should look at future California friendly landscape guidelines for industrial.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Reyes, seconded by Downs, to recommend adoption of a resolution to approve the Addendum and Specific Plan Amendment, File No., PSPA19-004, subject to conditions of approval. Roll call vote: AYES, DeDiemar, Downs, Gage, Gregorek, Reyes, Ricci, and Willoughby; NOES, none; RECUSE, none; ABSENT, none. The motion was carried 7 to 0.

- G. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND REVIEW FOR FILE NO. PSPA19-007:**
An Amendment to the Parkside Specific Plan (File No. PSPA19-007) to: [1] Reconfigure the residential Planning Areas 1 through 4, and 17 through 19; [2] Reconfigure the Great Park Planning Area 22 (east of the Cucamonga Creek Channel); [3] Revise internal circulation to improve access into the neighborhood commercial Planning Area 19; [4] Update and revise Residential Design Guidelines (Sections 7.1 through 7.6) to introduce new housing types and architectural styles; and [5] Update and revise Landscape Standards (Section 7.7). The environmental impacts of this project were analyzed in an Addendum to the Parkside Specific Plan (File No. PSP03-002) Environmental Impact Report (SCH# 2004011008) certified by the City Council on September 5, 2006. This application is consistent with the previously adopted EIR and introduces no new significant environmental impacts. All previously adopted mitigation measures shall be a condition of project approval and are incorporated herein by reference. The proposed project is located within the Airport Influence Area of Ontario International Airport and was evaluated and found to be consistent with the policies and criteria of the Ontario International Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). (APN(s): 0218-231-06, 0218-231-08, 0218-231-09, 0218-231-10, 0218-231-11, 0218-231-12, 0218-231-13, 0218-231-14, 0218-231-15, 0218-231-16, 0218-231-17, 0218-231-18, 0218-231-19, 0218-231-20, 0218-231-21, 0218-231-22, 0218-231-28, 0218-231-30, 0218-231-31, 0218-231-39, 0218-221-09, and 0218-221-10); **submitted by SC Ontario Development Company, LLC. City Council action is required.**

This Item is being requested to be continued to the May 26, 2020 meeting.

Chairman Willoughby opened the public testimony

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

No one responded.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

It was moved by Downs to continue the Specific Plan Amendment, File No. PSPA19-007, to the May 26, 2020 meeting. It was carried unanimously, 7-0.

MATTERS FROM THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Old Business Reports From Subcommittees

Historic Preservation (Standing): This subcommittee did not meet

Development Code Review (Ad-hoc): This subcommittee did not meet.

Zoning General Plan Consistency (Ad-hoc): This subcommittee did not meet.

New Business

None at this time.

NOMINATIONS FOR SPECIAL RECOGNITION

None at this time.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Ms. Wahlstrom stated the Monthly Activity Reports are in their packets. She also stated that the California Preservation Conference is May 18 – 20 and will be online this year.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Gregorek motioned to adjourn, carried unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 8:26 PM.

Gwen Brundsen

Secretary Pro Tempore

[Signature]

Chairman, Planning Commission