# 5.9 - PUBLIC SERVICES: SCHOOLS, POLICE, FIRE, LIBRARY, PARKS AND RECREATION

#### 5.9.1 - Schools

#### Introduction

Information in this section is based upon the following documents:

• NMC Final EIR, City of Ontario, October 1997. This document is incorporated by reference.

The NMC Final EIR evaluated potential impacts to the increased demand for educational facilities that would result from development of the NMC. The NMC Final EIR stated that build-out of the NMC would generate 13,570 elementary school students and 4,818 middle school students within the Chino and Mountain View Unified School Districts and 6,214 high school students within the Chaffey Joint Unified School District. Based upon this, the NMC Final EIR stated that the Chino Unified School District would need to establish 11.7 elementary schools, 2.3 middle schools, and 1.9 high schools. The Mountain View School District would need to establish 7.8 elementary schools, and 2.8 middle schools. The Chaffey Joint Union High School would need to establish one high school. The Chaffey Community College District also serves the City, including the NMC, from a main campus in Rancho Cucamonga and two satellite campuses located within the City. The NMC Final referenced the Chaffey Community College District's desire to establish a facility within the NMC. Figure PS-3 of the NMC Final EIR identified locations for 9 elementary schools, 5 middle schools, and 3 high schools.

## **Existing Conditions**

The project site is located within the Mountain View School District (Kindergarten-8th grade) and the Chaffey Joint Union High School District (grades 9-12). Both of these districts are currently at capacity enrollment at each school facility. Table 5.9-1 lists each school facility that would serve the project site and the corresponding enrollment figures based on written correspondence received from the Mountain View School District (Mountain View School District 2004) and written correspondence received from the Chaffey Joint Union High School District (Chaffey Joint Union High School District 2004).

**Table 5.9-1: Existing School Facilities** 

School District	Location	Planned Student Capacity	Student Enrollment as of October 2004
Mountain View Unified	3742 Lytle Creek North Loop	750	770
Mountain View Unified	2947 S. Turner Ave.	550	625
Mountain View Unified	3300 Old Archibald Rd.	750	810
Mountain View Unified	2947 S. Turner Ave.	1,100	1,300
Chaffey Joint Union H.S.	3850 E. Riverside Dr.	2,500	2,416 (04-05)
	Mountain View Unified  Mountain View Unified  Mountain View Unified  Mountain View Unified  Chaffey Joint	Mountain View Unified Unified Creek North Loop  Mountain View Unified  Mountain View Unified  Mountain View Unified  Ave.  Mountain View Unified  Archibald Rd.  Mountain View Unified  Ave.  Chaffey Joint  3742 Lytle Creek North Loop  2947 S. Turner Ave.	Mountain View Unified 2947 S. Turner Ave.  Mountain View Unified 2947 S. Turner Ave.  Mountain View Unified Archibald Rd.  Mountain View Unified Ave.  Chaffey Joint 3850 E. 2,500

Source: Mountain View Unified School District and Chaffey Joint Union High School District, July 2004, and NMC Final EIR Table PS-3.

With approval of Proposition 1A on November 13, 1998, the School Fee provisions of Senate Bill 50 (SB 50) became effective. Under SB 50, statutory caps have been placed on developer fees, and local governments cannot deny a project based on the adequacy of school facilities. SB 50 also permits additional developer fees to be levied in amounts up to approximately 50 percent of the cost of constructing school facilities and for land acquisition and site development (Level 2 Fees). The State is responsible for contributing the other 50 percent of the cost of construction, site acquisition, and development by providing per-pupil grants based upon State construction standards. Such State per pupil grants are based upon the school district's funding eligibility as determined by a one-time assessment of existing capacity and un-housed students, and thereafter on a school facilities needs analysis to be conducted by the district. If, in the future, the State ceases to make apportionments of funds to school districts, then the District may levy additional amounts representing approximately 100 percent of the cost of constructing school facilities and site acquisition (Level 3 Fee).

The Level 2 and Level 3 Fees can only be levied if the school districts have met certain conditions including, but not limited to conducting a school facilities needs analysis and being deemed eligible to participate in the State Funding Program by the State Allocation Board.

# Thresholds of Significance

A project can be considered to have a significant impact on public schools if the project generates more students than school facilities can sustain, leading to conditions of overcrowding and lack of resources. Classroom overcrowding, in and of itself, however, does not equate to a significant effect on the environment (Goleta Union School District v. Regents of the University of California (1995)

37 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1025, 1032). School impacts are typically mitigated by payment of developer fees in accordance with AB 2926.

The NMC Final EIR stated that a significant impact will occur if the proposed project results in increased demand for schools beyond levels established by the school districts.

# **Project Impacts**

The proposed project would convert the project site to urban uses that would result in the development of 584 dwelling units, which would generate additional school age children.

Table 5.9-2 provides an estimate of the student population that would be generated from build-out of the 584 residential units on the project site.

**Table 5.9-2: Student Generation** 

School Grades	Generation Factor	Students			
Elementary School and Middle School (K-8)	0.64 students/DU (single-family) 0.27 students/DU (multi-family)	177 83			
High School	0.27 students/DU	158			
Total	418				
DU = dwelling unit Source: Mountain View Unified School District and Chaffey Joint Union High School District, July 2004.					

The potential increase in enrollment identified in Table 5.9-2 identifies a conservative upper-end of enrollment that would be generated by the proposed project.

Currently, the school facilities within the Mountain View School District and the Chaffey Joint Union High School District servicing the proposed project area are near or over capacity. However, the state mandated developer impact fee will meet full mitigation standards required by CEQA regardless of the enrollment capacity conditions of the affected schools. Per the Chaffey Joint Union High School District, two additional school sites are anticipated, thus relieving the projected impact on school facilities.

Mountain View School District recently submitted plans for six elementary and three middle schools sites for consideration to the State. Even though none of the sites are in the vicinity of the proposed project, construction of additional school facilities within the district would allow for greater capacity at school facilities serving the project site.

Recent legislation and funding agreements for new schools, authorized by the State, provide that local jurisdictions are no longer responsible for the funding and construction of school facilities. School districts are authorized to levy fees as a condition of approvals, of development projects, for capital acquisitions and improvements. Such one-time fees are paid at the time building permits are issued. The fees are paid into the general fund and may or may not be used to offset the impacts of the development generating the fees. School impact fees offset the added impact new student generation has on school facilities.

The Mountain View Unified School District currently assesses \$3.57 for residential and \$0.36 for commercial. The Chaffey Joint Unified School District currently assesses \$1.02 for both residential and commercial.

Senate Bill (SB 50) mandates that complete mitigation of school related impacts are covered by lawful payment of required school impact fees. Necessary mitigation fees have been established and discussed through the NMC General Plan and will be based on square foot measurements for both residential and commercial uses.

# **Cumulative Impacts**

Future growth in the vicinity of the project area will result in an increased student population and substantially contribute to a significant cumulative impact on public school facilities. However, with the identified mitigation measure, no cumulative impacts would result.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

The Public Services Section of the NMC Final EIR included a mitigation measure that stated the school-related policies contained in the NMC General Plan represent a variety of measures that will assist in reducing potential impacts to school districts. The mitigation measure further stated that such measures, or policies, would reduce potentially significant impacts below the level of significance.

Implementation of the NMC Final EIR mitigation measures and the following mitigation measure would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less than significant level.

S-1 Prior to the issuance of building permits or grading permits, the project applicant shall pay developer impact fees to the Mountain View School District and Chaffey Joint Union High School District in accordance with Section 65995 of the California Government Code for the proposed dwelling units.

## **Level of Significance After Mitigation**

Mitigation Measure S-1 would require implementation prior to permit issuance. This eliminates the potential for construction-related activities to commence without the benefit of the recommended mitigation measures. This measure would provide for an assessment of development fees that would provide a fair-share contribution for expansion of school facilities in compliance with State of California laws and regulations will assure adequate school funding. Impacts to public schools will be less than significant with the implementation of the above mitigation measure.

## 5.9.2 - Police Service

#### Introduction

Information in this section is based upon the following documents:

• NMC Final EIR, City of Ontario, October 1997. This document is incorporated by reference.

The NMC Final EIR evaluated potential impacts to the increased demand for police protection services that would result from the development of the NMC. The NMC Final EIR stated that build-out of the NMC would result in the need for an additional 163 sworn police officers and an additional 102 civilian personnel. In addition, it was further stated that one additional police station or substation would be located within the NMC.

The NMC Final EIR referenced policies contained in the NMC General Plan that if implemented would not result insignificant impacts to police services.

#### **Existing Conditions**

Police protection to the project site is provided by the City of Ontario Police Department (OPD). The police department headquarters, which also serves as a police station, is located at 2500 South Archibald Avenue, an approximate distance of three miles from the project site.

The services provided by OPD to the community include: emergency police response, non-emergency police response, routine police patrol, traffic violation enforcement, traffic accident investigation, animal control, and parking code enforcement.

Currently, OPD is equipped with marked patrol cars, marked motorcycles, K-9 units, detective units, undercover units, two helicopters, bicycle units, a SWAT van, a hostage negotiation van, a command armored rescue vehicle, crime prevention vans and a mounted Posse. The response time goal of the OPD is under 5 minutes and 35 seconds. The OPD has divided the City of Ontario into 8 sectors each

of which has a minimum of one beat up to a maximum of four beats. Each beat has a minimum of one vehicle and each sector has a Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Officer is also assigned. Minimum staffing per shift is 13 officers. The project site is located in Police Sector 7.

Based upon written correspondence from the OPD (City of Ontario 2004), there are 215 sworn officers currently staffed with an existing budget for 225 sworn officers. Based upon the current City population of 167,921 in combination with the police staffing planning ratio of 1.37 sworn officers per 1,000 population, as identified in the NMC Final EIR, there should be approximately 268 sworn officers. Based upon the current staffing ratio of 1.37 officers per thousand persons, there should be approximately 230 officers (City of Ontario 2005).

## Thresholds of Significance

A project is considered to have a significant impact on police services if the project will result in a substantial need for such services that cannot be adequately met by available Police Department personnel or equipment.

# **Project Impacts**

Conversion of the project site to urban uses would result in an increased demand for police services. Based upon the projected increase in population of 2,208 persons that would result from development of the proposed project, an additional 3.02 sworn officers would be needed.

Based upon the calculated need for sworn officers, there is currently a deficiency of 45 sworn officers Citywide. However, written correspondence from the OPD stated that despite this deficiency, the OPD is able to maintain adequate police protection service throughout the City, including the project site. This is because the OPD reconfigures staffing within the Police Sectors. In addition, the OPD planned to conduct a workload study by the end of 2004 in order to make an accurate projection for future staffing due to the impending development of the NMC. The results of the study will be forwarded to the City council and City Manager for their use in future planning of City resources and long-term budgeting. The police headquarters facility opened in January of 2004 and has a 30-year occupancy expectation based on anticipated growth within the NMC.

Currently, the Police Department is in the process of conducting a workload study to assist in the staffing needs of the pre-NMC portion of the City. The staffing requirement for the NMC has established a ratio of 1.37 officers per thousand persons. At the current staffing levels, the Police Department would require additional staffing in order to serve the project site.

## **Cumulative Impacts**

Implementation of the proposed project in addition to all other related projects in the vicinity of the project site could add to the cumulative impact on police services. The OPD regularly evaluates police protection services throughout the City. The cumulative impacts of project development, along with the development of the related projects could require additional police staffing, equipment or facilities to maintain adequate levels of police protection through out the City of Ontario. However, the project's contribution to the citywide impact on police protection is considered less than significant because the existing police services provided to the project area would not be substantially affected with project implementation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

The Public Services Section of the NMC Final EIR did not identify any mitigation measures related to police services.

No mitigation measures are required.

# **Level of Significance After Mitigation**

Impacts on police protection services associated with the project would be less than significant.

#### 5.9.3 - Fire Services

#### Introduction

Information in this section is based upon the following documents:

• NMC Final EIR, City of Ontario, October 1997. This document is incorporated by reference.

The NMC Final EIR evaluated potential impacts to the increased demand for fire protection services that would result from the development of the NMC, which would result in a potential impact to fire safety and increase in emergency calls for fire suppression. The NMC Final EIR stated that three new fire stations, one of which would to be equipped with a truck company, would be necessary to provide adequate fire suppression services.

The NMC Final EIR referenced NMC General Plan policies that if implemented would eliminate potentially significant impacts to fire suppression services from development of the NMC.

## **Existing Conditions**

Fire protection services are provided to the project site by the City of Ontario Fire Department (OFD). The department provides fire suppression and emergency medical services. Primary response to the project site is provided by Station 136 located at 2931 E. Philadelphia Street, approximately 2 miles northwest of the project site. Additional fire stations are strategically located throughout the City. Each fire station operates within a pre-defined geographic area around the station. The locations of the OFD stations, including personnel and equipment, are as follows:

#### • Station 131 - 425 E. "B" Street

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 Emergency Medical Technicians [EMTs)
- One fire truck, 4 personnel (4 EMTs)
- One battalion vehicle, 1 personnel
- One investigation vehicle, 1 personnel
- One Explosive Ordinance Disposal vehicle, 1 personnel

#### • Station 132 - 544 W. Francis Street

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs
- One Sate of California Office of Emergency Response Vehicle, 4 personnel
- One medic van, 2 personnel

## • Station 133 - 1408 E. Francis Street

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs)
- One water tender, 2 personnel
- One fire truck, 4 personnel
- One reserve engine, 4 personnel

#### • Station 134 - 1005 N. Mountain Avenue

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs)
- One reserve engine, 4 personnel

#### • Station 135 - 1530 E. 4th Street

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs)
- One reserve engine, 4 personnel

# • Station 136 - 2931 E. Philadelphia Street

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs)
- One battalion vehicle, 1 personnel
- One brush engine, 4 personnel
- One explosive and ordinance disposal vehicle, 4 personnel

#### • Station 137 - 4925 E. Vanderbilt

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs)

## • Station 138 - 3429 E. Shelby Avenue

- One medic fire engine, 4 personnel (2 paramedics, 2 EMTs)
- One fire truck, 4 personnel (4 EMTs)
- One fire truck, 4 personnel (4 EMTs)
- One heavy rescue vehicle, 2 personnel
- One hazardous materials vehicle, 2 personnel

In addition to the OFD stations identified, the OFD maintains mutual automatic-aid response agreements with the following agencies: the Chino Valley Fire Protection District; the Montclair Fire Department; the Upland Fire Department; the Rancho Cucamonga Fire Department; the San Bernardino County Fire Department; and the Ontario Airport Fire Department, which is operated by the City of Los Angeles.

The OFD maintains an average response time of 5 minutes. The OFD minimum response to an event includes three pieces of equipment and up to 17 personnel for residential dwelling units, and four pieces of equipment and up to twenty-one personnel for commercial and industrial building events.

# Thresholds of Significance

A project is considered to have a significant impact on fire and emergency services if the project will result in a substantial need for fire and medical emergency assistance that cannot be adequately met by available Fire Department personnel or equipment.

The NMC Final EIR stated that implementation of the NMC would create an adverse significant impact if fire suppression, fire protection, and emergency medical demands exceed the capability of the OFD.

The NMC Final EIR also stated that if water flow rates for fire suppression activities were below a standard, a significant impact to fire-fighting ability would occur.

# **Project Impacts**

Development of the proposed project would result in development of the project site with urban uses, which would result in an increased potential demand for fire suppression services over the existing conditions. Written correspondence received from the OFD (City of Ontario 2004) stated that current staffing and resources are adequate to serve the project site. The City recently added Fire Station No. 138 near the intersection of Haven Avenue and Inland Empire Boulevard, as referenced in the NMC

Final EIR. Therefore, less than significant impacts to fire suppression services would result from project implementation.

Because the project site would be developed with urban uses, the potential increase for fire-related water supply would be required. The Water Master Plan evaluated fire flow requirements in the NMC and determined, according to Figure 6-5 in the Water Master Plan, that the three test locations adjacent to the project site passed the fire flow status requirements. The Water Master Plan defines passing as the supply of water that is available for fire flow requirements exceeds the maximum of water required. Therefore, no impacts would result from the increased demand for water related fire flow requirements resulting from project implementation.

Access roads are required per the California Fire Code when any portion of the facility or any portion of an exterior wall of the first story of the building is located more than 150 feet from fire apparatus access. The interior roadways and non-dedicated drive aisles would provide emergency vehicular access. Therefore, no impacts related to emergency vehicular access would result from project implementation.

# **Cumulative Impacts**

Implementation of the proposed project in addition to all other related projects in the vicinity of the project site could add to the cumulative impact on fire services. The OFD regularly evaluates fire protection services throughout the City. The impacts associated with surrounding projects are not considered cumulatively significant and would not require additional fire personnel staffing, equipment or facilities to maintain adequate levels of fire protection throughout the City of Ontario and, in particular, in the immediate vicinity of the project site. The project's contribution to the cumulative impact is considered less than significant because the fire services currently provided to the project area would not be substantially affected.

# **Mitigation Measures**

The Public Services Section of the NMC Final EIR did not identify any mitigation measures related to fire services.

No mitigation measures are required.

# **Level of Significance After Mitigation**

Impacts on fire services associated with the project would be less than significant.

#### 5.9.4 - Library

#### Introduction

Information in this section is based upon the following documents:

• NMC Final EIR, City of Ontario, October 1997. This document is incorporated by reference.

The NMC Final EIR evaluated potential impacts to the increased demand for library services that would result from development of the NMC. The NMC Final EIR stated that build-out of the NMC would require additional branches and/or expanding the size of the existing branches.

# **Existing Conditions**

The City provides library services through the Main Library located in the City Civic Center. This Library is currently being renovated and expanded from 44,000 sq ft to 57,000 sq ft with the intention of providing services to the entire City, including the NMC and the project site. The expansion project is scheduled to be complete during the summer of 2005. While expansion construction is taking place, the Main Branch Library is located in a temporary facility.

The City also operates a branch library, located in the NMC at Colony High School, which is located immediately west of the project site across Mill Creek Avenue. The Colony High School Branch Library is approximately 14,000 sq ft in size and is operated as a joint use facility between the Chaffey Joint Union High School District and the City. This branch library is a permanent facility and is open to the public, and the high school students, Monday through Saturday.

Each library location is open to all members of the public. City residency is not required to use the library or obtain a library card.

## Thresholds of Significance

A project is considered to have a significant impact on library facilities and services if the project will result in a substantial need for such facilities that cannot be adequately met by available library facilities.

The NMC Final EIR stated that implementation of the NMC are considered significant if they create a need for library services that exceed the capabilities of the existing local and/or regional library services.

## **Project Impacts**

Based upon written correspondence received from the City (City of Ontario 2004), the proposed project would result in an increase of approximately 2,135 residents. The estimate of project site population identified in the City correspondence is slightly lower than the estimate of 2,208 residents identified in Section 3.3.2 of this document. Based upon the City standard of 0.32 sq ft of library facility space per resident identified in this correspondence, the City stated that the proposed project would contribute to the need for an additional 683 sq ft of library facility space. If the higher estimate of population is used, the result would be 706 sq ft. However, the City stated that adequate library facilities exist within the City and that the proposed project would not require expansion of existing or construction of additional library facilities.

The City has adopted a development impact fee program for library facilities within the entire NMC, which includes the project site. The development impact fee for NMC Library Facilities and the Collection Development Impact Fees are \$638 per dwelling unit for single-family detached and \$534 per dwelling unit for multiple-family. No other programs that involve developer contributions are in place for library facilities.

During development of the NMC area, the City anticipates either the construction of a new facility in addition to the current expansion of the Main Library. However, no final decision has been made at this time as to size or location of any additional library facilities.

Because the City will collect fees from developments within the NMC, at such time new facilities are planned and sited, adequate funding will be in place.

# **Cumulative Impacts**

Implementation of the proposed project in addition to all other related projects in the vicinity of the project site would increase the use of City library facilities. However, the requirements for additional library facilities have been planned for. The project's contribution to cumulative impacts would be less than significant because the proposed project would not trigger the need for additional library facilities. No cumulative impact on library facilities would occur as a result of project implementation.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

The Public Services Section of the NMC Final EIR included a mitigation measure that stated the library-related policies contained in the NMC General Plan represent a variety of measures that will assist in reducing potential impacts to City libraries. The mitigation measure further stated that such measures, or policies, would reduce potentially significant impacts to a level of insignificance.

No additional mitigation measures are required.

# **Level of Significance After Mitigation**

Impacts on library facilities would be less than significant.

# 5.9.5 - Parks and Recreation

#### Introduction

Information in this section is based upon the following documents:

- NMC Final EIR, City of Ontario, October 1997. This document is incorporated by reference.
- Sphere of Influence Parks, Recreation, and Biological Resources Implementation Program, Final Hearing Draft, City of Ontario, September 1999. This document is incorporated by reference.

The NMC Final EIR evaluated potential impacts to parks and recreational facilities that would result from development of the NMC. The NMC Final EIR stated that build-out of the NMC would require an additional 509 acres of parks and recreational facilities.

# **Existing Conditions**

No recreational facilities exist on the project site. The City has several park, recreational, and special use facilities located in the vicinity of the project site: Ontario Motor Speedway Park, Westwind Park and Whispering Lakes Golf Course, several community centers, and a community trail system within the City account for an additional recreational uses.

In addition to recreation opportunities at local public parks and facilities, there are a number of large regional park facilities located close to the project site, which include: Prado Regional Park, Cucamonga-Guasti Regional Park, Glen Helen Regional Park, Bonelli Regional Park, Chino Hills State Park, and Citrus Historic State Park. These regional facilities provide thousands of acres of passive and active recreation opportunities, including swimming, fishing, boating, camping, and hiking that supplements the existing City park and recreational facilities.

In addition to the recreational opportunities at parks and special use facilities, City residents have limited use of school facilities for recreational activities and sports leagues through shared use agreements with the school districts. There are three elementary schools and one middle school that offer the use of athletic fields, playgrounds, basketball courts, and other facilities during evenings and weekends. Colony High School, immediately west of the project site across Mill Creek Avenue, also provides access to a wide range of athletic facilities during non-school hours.

## Thresholds of Significance

According to Appendix G of the State CEQA Guidelines, a project would have a significant impact to park and recreational facilities if the following occur:

- The project increases the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated: or
- The project includes recreational facilities or requires the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.

The NMC Final EIR included a threshold that determined a significant impact would occur if parks and recreational facilities demands exceed current parks standards.

## **Project Impacts**

The proposed project would convert the predominantly agricultural uses to urban uses, which would result in an increased demand for parks and recreational facilities. Based upon the City's standard of 5 acres of park and recreational facilities for every 1,000 residents, the full build-out of the project site would result in the need for 11 acres of park and recreational facilities based upon the estimated population of 2,208.

The proposed project includes a 2.3-acre Central Park. The Central Park will include picnic areas, tot lots, trails, open play fields, and a recreation center to include a country store providing convenience services such as a coffee/news stand. In addition to the Central Park, the project includes an SCE Corridor Trail, which contains approximately 0.75 acres, and retention of 12 acres of open space, which provides passive recreational value. The SCE Corridor Trail provides a link to the City's trail system. Access to the SCE corridor trails will be provided at key points within the residential area to provide pedestrian and bicycle accessibility between residential areas and the commercial center planned to the east of the SCE corridor. The combination of these provides more than 14 acres of park and recreational facilities on the project site. Based upon the need for 11 acres of park and recreational facilities created by the project population, less than significant impacts to parks and recreational facilities would result from project implementation.

The City currently collects three acres of parkland or in-lieu fees from new residential subdivisions for every 1,000 residents in accordance with California Government Code Section 66477 (Quimby Act). Additional sources for the City to obtain parkland include alternative funding sources for adding park acreage and/or park improvements. Such sources include general fund revenues, developer impact fees, state and federal grants, user group contributions and school district joint use

contributions. Other methods that the City pursues to supplement their current parkland include encouraging the development of private open space and recreational amenities, beyond public park requirements, to be incorporated in large residential projects.

## **Cumulative Impacts**

Future growth under the proposed Specific Plan as well as growth in areas surrounding the Planning Area will result in an increased cumulative demand for parkland. Future development within the NMC would result in a substantial demand for new parkland. However, the proposed project would provide a small surplus of approximately 6 acres of parks and special use facilities within the NMC. Therefore, the proposed project would not cumulatively contribute to future potential adverse impacts on parkland in the region.

## **Mitigation Measures**

The Public Services Section of the NMC Final EIR included a mitigation measure that stated the parks and recreation-related policies contained in the NMC General Plan represent a variety of measures that balance the projected increase in population with the projected need for recreational facilities.

No additional mitigation measures are needed.

## **Level of Significance After Mitigation**

Impacts on park and recreation facilities associated with the project would be less than significant.