BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN)	
CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E))	Application 07-06-031
for a Certificate of Public Convenience and)	
Necessity Concerning the Tehachapi Renewable)	(Filed June 29, 2007)
Transmission Project (Segments 4 through 11))	
)	

COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) ON THE PROPOSED DECISION DENYING THE CITY OF ONTARIO'S AMENDED PETITIONS FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 09-12-044, AS MODIFIED BY SUBSEQUENT DECISIONS, TO STAY CONSTRUCTION OF SEGMENT 8 OF THE TEHACHAPI RENEWABLE TRANSMISSION PROJECT AND TO UNDERGROUND SEGMENT 8 IN ONTARIO

ANGELA WHATLEY

Attorney for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-3618

Facsimile: (626) 302-6736

E-mail: angela.whatley@sce.com

Dated: March 26, 2015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN)	
CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E))	Application 07-06-031
for a Certificate of Public Convenience and)	
Necessity Concerning the Tehachapi Renewable)	(Filed June 29, 2007)
Transmission Project (Segments 4 through 11))	
	_)	

COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) ON THE PROPOSED DECISION DENYING THE CITY OF ONTARIO'S AMENDED PETITIONS FOR MODIFICATION OF DECISION 09-12-044, AS MODIFIED BY SUBSEQUENT DECISIONS, TO STAY CONSTRUCTION OF SEGMENT 8 OF THE TEHACHAPI RENEWABLE TRANSMISSION PROJECT AND TO UNDERGROUND SEGMENT 8 IN ONTARIO

Pursuant to Rule 14.3 of the California Public Utility Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) has reviewed the Proposed Decision Denying The City Of Ontario's Amended Petitions For Modification Of Decision 09-12-044, As Modified By Subsequent Decisions, To Stay Construction Of Segment 8 Of The Tehachapi Renewable Transmission Project (Project) And To Underground Segment 8 In Ontario (Proposed Decision or PD).

SCE appreciates the PD's analysis of the applicable law and facts, careful consideration of the parties' positions, and appropriate resolution of these issues. As such, SCE concurs with the analysis and conclusions reached in the PD and supports its prompt adoption.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ ANGELA WHATLEY

By: Angela Whatley

Attorney for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-3618

Facsimile: (626) 302-6736

E-mail: <u>angela.whatley@sce.com</u>

March 26, 2015